arXiv:0805.0667v1 [math.OA] 6 May 2008

Tensor products of type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras

Katsunori Kawamura*
College of Science and Engineering Ritsumeikan University,
1-1-1 Noji Higashi, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan

Abstract

We introduced a non-symmetric tensor product of any two states or any two representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with a certain non-cocommutative comultiplication in previous our work. In this paper, we show that a certain set of KMS states is closed with respect to the tensor product. From this, we obtain formulae of tensor product of type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras which is different from results of the tensor product of factors of type III.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000). 46K10, 46L30, 46L35.
Keywords. tensor product of representations, Cuntz-Krieger algebra, KMS state, type III factor representation.

1 Introduction

We have studied states and representations of operator algebras. KMS states over Cuntz-Krieger algebras with respect to certain one-parameter automorphism groups are known [10, 13]. GNS representations by such KMS states induce type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras [26]. On the other hand, we introduced a non-symmetric tensor product of any two states or any two representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with a certain non-cocommutative comultiplication [25]. From these, we investigate the tensor product of two KMS states or their GNS representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras in this paper. In consequence, we obtain formulae of tensor product of type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras.

---

*e-mail: kawamura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

Remark that this is not a study of the tensor product of “factors” of type III but that of a certain non-symmetric tensor product of type III “factor representations.”

1.1 Motivation

From studies of branching laws of a certain class of representations of Cuntz algebras [20, 21, 22], we found a non-symmetric tensor product of representations of Cuntz algebras [23]. Subsequently, this tensor product was explained by the C*-bialgebra which is defined by the direct sum of all Cuntz algebras [24]. Furthermore such a construction of C*-bialgebra was generalized to Cuntz-Krieger algebras [25]. The essential tool of the construction is a certain set of embeddings among Cuntz-Krieger algebras. We explain this as follows.

A matrix A is *nondegenerate* if any column and any row are not zero. For $2 \leq n < \infty$, let $\mathbf{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ denote the set of all nondegenerate $n \times n$ matrices with entries 0 or 1 and define $\mathbf{M} \equiv \cup_n \mathbf{M}_n(\{0,1\})$. For $A \in \mathbf{M}$, let $\mathcal{O}_A$ denote the Cuntz-Krieger algebra by A [6]. For $A, B \in \mathbf{M}$, let $A \boxtimes B$ denote the Kronecker product of A and B [7]. Then $\mathbf{M}$ is closed with respect to $\boxtimes$. We can construct a set $\{\varphi_{A,B} : A, B \in \mathbf{M}\}$ such that $\varphi_{A,B}$ is a unital $*$-embedding of $\mathcal{O}_{A\boxtimes B}$ into $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$ and

$$(\varphi_{A,B} \otimes id_C) \circ \varphi_{A\boxtimes B,C} = (id_A \otimes \varphi_{B,C}) \circ \varphi_{A,B\boxtimes C} \quad (A, B, C \in \mathbf{M}) \tag{1.1}$$

where id_X denotes the identity map of $\mathcal{O}_X$ for $X = A, C$ and $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$ means the minimal tensor product of $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$. We will give the explicit definition of these embeddings in § 1.2.

From the set $\varphi \equiv \{\varphi_{A,B} : A, B \in \mathbf{M}\}$, we can define associative tensor products of states or representations as follows. Let $\mathcal{S}_A$ denote the set of all states over $\mathcal{O}_A$. For $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}_A$ and $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}_B$, define $\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi \rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{A\boxtimes B}$ by

$$\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi \rho_2 \equiv (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) \circ \varphi_{A,B}. \tag{1.2}$$

From (1.1), we see that

$$(\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi \rho_2) \otimes_\varphi \rho_3 = \rho_1 \otimes_\varphi (\rho_2 \otimes_\varphi \rho_3) \quad (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in \mathcal{S}_*)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_* \equiv \bigcup_{A\in\mathbf{M}} \mathcal{S}_A$. In consequence, $\mathcal{S}_*$ is a semigroup with respect to the operation $\otimes_\varphi$. Let Rep$\mathcal{O}_A$ denote the class of all unital $*$-representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$. As is the case with states, we can define the associative operation $\otimes_\varphi$ on the class $\mathsf{R}_* \equiv \bigcup_{A\in\mathbf{M}} \mathrm{Rep}\mathcal{O}_A$:

$$\otimes_\varphi : \mathsf{R}_* \times \mathsf{R}_* \to \mathsf{R}_*.$$

We show properties of $\otimes_\varphi$ as follows: For two representations π_1, π_2 of a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, if π_1 and π_2 are unitarily equivalent (*resp.* quasi-equivalent), then we write $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$ (*resp.* $\pi_1 \approx \pi_2$).

(i) For $\pi_i, \pi_i^{'} \in \mathsf{R}_*$, if $\pi_i \simeq \pi_i^{'}$ for each $i = 1, 2$, then $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \simeq \pi_1^{'} \otimes_\varphi \pi_2^{'}$. From this, $\otimes_\varphi$ is well-defined on the set

$$\mathcal{R}_* \equiv \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})} (\mathrm{Rep}\mathcal{O}_A/\simeq) \tag{1.3}$$

of all unitary equivalence classes of representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$'s.

(ii) The operation $\otimes_\varphi$ satisfies the distribution law with respect to the direct sum.

(iii) The operation $\otimes_\varphi$ is non-symmetric in a strong sense, that is, there exist $A \in \mathbf{M}$ and $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Rep}\mathcal{O}_A$ such that $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \not\simeq \pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_1$.

(iv) Even if both π_1 and π_2 are irreducible, $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is not always irreducible (§ 4.1 of [23]).

In addition, we can show the following.

Lemma 1.1 (i) *There exist $A \in \mathbf{M}$ and two factor representations π_1 and π_2 of $\mathcal{O}_A$ such that $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is not a factor representation of $\mathcal{O}_{A \boxtimes A}$.*

(ii) *There exist $A \in \mathbf{M}$ and $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Rep}\mathcal{O}_A$ such that $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \not\approx \pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_1$.*

(iii) *For $\pi_i, \pi_i^{'} \in \mathsf{R}_*$, if $\pi_i \approx \pi_i^{'}$ for each $i = 1, 2$, then $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \approx \pi_1^{'} \otimes_\varphi \pi_2^{'}$.*

(iv) *There exist $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}_*$ such that $\pi_{\rho_1} \otimes_\varphi \pi_{\rho_2} \not\approx \pi_{\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi \rho_2}$ where π_ρ denotes the GNS representation by a state ρ.*

From Lemma 1.1(i), even if both π_1 and π_2 are factor representations, $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is not always a factor representation. From Lemma 1.1(ii), $\otimes_\varphi$ is also non-symmetric with respect to quasi-equivalence classes. Lemma 1.1 will be proved in § 3.4.

Our interest is to compute tensor products of concrete states or representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras with respect to the above operation $\otimes_\varphi$. For the case of permutative representations of Cuntz algebras, we gave formulae of decomposition of tensor products completely [23]. In this case, non-type I representation never appear. In this paper, we intend to consider tensor products of non-type I representations.

1.2 A set of embeddings of Cuntz-Krieger algebras

In this subsection, we review a set of embeddings of Cuntz-Krieger algebras [25]. We state that a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ is *irreducible* if for any $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists $k \in \mathbf{N} \equiv \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ such that $(A^k)_{i,j} \neq 0$ where $A^k = A \cdots A$ (k times). For $2 \leq n < \infty$, let $\mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ denote the set of all irreducible nondegenerate $n \times n$ matrices with entries 0 or 1, which is not a permutation matrix. Define

$$\mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\}) \equiv \cup\{\mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\}) : n \geq 2\}. \tag{1.4}$$

For $A = (A_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $B = (B_{ij}) \in M_m(\mathbf{C})$, define the *Kronecker product* $A \boxtimes B \in M_{nm}(\mathbf{C})$ of A and B by

$$(A \boxtimes B)_{m(i-1)+j, m(i'-1)+j'} \equiv A_{ii'} B_{jj'} \tag{1.5}$$

for $i, i^{'} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $j, j^{'} \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ [7]. If $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$, then $A \boxtimes B \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$.

For $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$, let $s_1^{(A)}, \ldots, s_n^{(A)}$ denote the canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_A$. For $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_m(\{0,1\})$, define the map $\varphi_{A,B}$ from $\mathcal{O}_{A\boxtimes B}$ to the minimal tensor product $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$ by

$$\varphi_{A,B}(s_{m(i-1)+j}^{(A\boxtimes B)}) \equiv s_i^{(A)} \otimes s_j^{(B)} \quad (i \in \{1, \ldots, n\},\ j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}). \tag{1.6}$$

Then we can verify that $\varphi_{A,B}$ is uniquely extended to a unital $*$-embedding of $\mathcal{O}_{A\boxtimes B}$ into $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$. Then $\{\varphi_{A,B} : A, B \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})\}$ satisfies (1.1).

1.3 GNS representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras by certain states

In this subsection, we introduce an index set of states and representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras by [12, 26] such that it is suitable to compute the tensor product by $\otimes_\varphi$. We rewrite original statements as follows. Define $I_0 \equiv \{x \in \mathbf{R} : 0 < x < 1\}$. For $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in I_0^n$, define $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}} \equiv \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$. For $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ denote the product of matrices $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and A. Define

$$\Lambda(A) \equiv \{\boldsymbol{a} \in I_0^n : PFE(\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A) = 1\} \tag{1.7}$$

where $PFE(X)$ denotes the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of a irreducible non-negative matrix X [1, 29]. Since

$$\boldsymbol{e}(A) \equiv (1/c_A, \ldots, 1/c_A) \tag{1.8}$$

belongs to $\Lambda(A)$ for $c_A \equiv PFE(A)$, $\Lambda(A) \neq \emptyset$ for each $A \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$.

Define $\mathbf{R}_+ \equiv \{x \in \mathbf{R} : x > 0\}$. For $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \Lambda(A)$, let $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}_+^n$ denote the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ such that $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1$ and let $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ denote canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_A$. Define the state $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_A$ by

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_J s_K^*) = \delta_{JK} a_{j_1} \ldots a_{j_{m-1}} x_{j_m} \tag{1.9}$$

when $s_J s_K^* \neq 0$ for $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^m$ and $K \in \cup_{l \geq 1} \{1, \ldots, n\}^l$ where $s_J = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_m}$. Then the following holds.

Theorem 1.2 *For $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$, let $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ denote the GNS representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Then the von Neumann algebra $M_{\boldsymbol{a}} \equiv \varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathcal{O}_A)''$ is an approximately finite dimensional (=AFD) factor of type* III*. Furthermore, Connes' classification of the type of $M_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ [4] is given as follows:*

(i) *If there exist $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that the greatest common divisor of the set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ is 1 and $\boldsymbol{a} = (\lambda^{p_1}, \ldots, \lambda^{p_n})$, then $p_1, \ldots, p_n, \lambda$ are uniquely determined by $\boldsymbol{a}$, and $M_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is of type* III_λ.

(ii) *If assumptions in (i) do not hold, then $M_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is of type* III_1.

In addition to Theorem 1.2, we define the positive real number $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a})$ by

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv \begin{cases} \lambda & (\boldsymbol{a} \text{ is as in the case of (i)}), \\ \\ 1 & (\boldsymbol{a} \text{ is as in the case of (ii)}). \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Then we can simply state that $M_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda(\boldsymbol{a})}$ for each $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$. Especially, for $\boldsymbol{e}(A)$ in (1.8), $\lambda(\boldsymbol{e}(A)) = 1/c_A$. The condition in Theorem 1.2(ii) is easily rewritten as follows.

Lemma 1.3 *For $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \Lambda(A)$, $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}) = 1$ if and only if there exist i, j such that $\log a_i / \log a_j \notin \mathbf{Q}$.*

Theorem 1.2 is nothing but a reformulation of Theorem 4.2 in [26] without use of terminology of KMS states. Here we abbreviate one-parameter automorphism groups and inverse temperatures associated with above KMS states for simplicity of description. These will be explained in § 3.

Remark 1.4 (i) For $A = (A_{ij})$, assume $A_{ij} = 1$ for each i, j. By definition, $\lambda(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \lambda(a_{p(1)}, \ldots, a_{p(n)})$ for any $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \Lambda(A)$ and any permutation $p \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Therefore $\boldsymbol{a} \mapsto \lambda(\boldsymbol{a})$ is not injective in general.

(ii) For $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(A)$, if $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}) \neq \lambda(\boldsymbol{b})$, then $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are not quasi-equivalent. However, we do not know whether $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are quasi-equivalent or not even if $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}) = \lambda(\boldsymbol{b})$.

1.4 Main theorems

In this subsection, we show our main theorems. For vectors $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m$, define $\boldsymbol{v} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbf{C}^{nm}$ by

$$(\boldsymbol{v} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{w})_{m(i-1)+j} = v_i w_j \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n,\ j = 1, \ldots, m). \tag{1.11}$$

We see that $(\boldsymbol{v} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{w}) \boxtimes \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{v} \boxtimes (\boldsymbol{w} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{x})$. For $\mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$ in (1.4) and $\Lambda(A)$ in (1.7), define

$$\Lambda(*) \equiv \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})} \Lambda(A). \tag{1.12}$$

Then the following holds for $\otimes_\varphi$ in (1.2).

Theorem 1.5 (i) *For* $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) \in \Lambda(A) \times \Lambda(B)$, $\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(A \boxtimes B)$.

(ii) *Let* $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ *be as in (1.9). For any* $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(*)$, $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \rho_{\boldsymbol{b}} = \rho_{\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b}}$.

(iii) *Let* $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ *be as in Theorem 1.2. For any* $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(*)$, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ *is unitarily equivalent to* $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b}}$.

From Theorem 1.5(i), $\Lambda(*)$ is a semigroup with respect to the product $\boxtimes$. By Theorem 1.5(ii), the set $\{\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}} : \boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(*)\}$ of all states in (1.9) is closed with respect to $\otimes_\varphi$, and the mapping

$$\Lambda(*) \ni \boldsymbol{a} \mapsto \rho_{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \mathcal{S}_*$$

is a semigroup homomorphism from $(\Lambda(*), \boxtimes)$ to $(\mathcal{S}_*, \otimes_\varphi)$. From Theorem 1.5(iii), we see that

$$[\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}] \otimes_\varphi [\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}] = [\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b}}] \quad (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(*)) \tag{1.13}$$

where $[\pi]$ denotes the unitary equivalence class of $\pi \in \mathsf{R}_*$. From this, the mapping

$$\Lambda(*) \ni \boldsymbol{a} \mapsto [\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}] \in \mathcal{R}_*$$

is also a semigroup homomorphism from $(\Lambda(*), \boxtimes)$ to $(\mathcal{R}_*, \otimes_\varphi)$ for $\mathcal{R}_*$ in (1.3).

Next, we consider the type of $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$. From Theorem 1.5(iii), $(\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}})(\mathcal{O}_{A \boxtimes B})''$ is also an AFD factor of type III_λ for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. From Theorem 1.2 and 1.5(iii), the following holds.

Corollary 1.6 *Let* $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a})$ *be as in (1.10). Then* $(\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_{\varphi} \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}})(\mathcal{O}_{A\boxtimes B})''$ *is an AFD factor of type* $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}\boxtimes\boldsymbol{b})}$ *for each* $\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(*)$.

Remark that

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b}) = \lambda(\boldsymbol{b} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{a}) \quad (\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(*)) \tag{1.14}$$

by definition.

From Corollary 1.6, the following holds.

Corollary 1.7 *For* $\boldsymbol{e}(A)$ *in (1.8),* $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{e}(A)} \otimes_{\varphi} \varpi_{\boldsymbol{e}(B)}$ *is of type* $\mathrm{III}_{\frac{1}{c_A c_B}}$ *for each* $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$.

Remark 1.8 (i) From Corollary 1.6, we see that the type of the tensor product $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_{\varphi} \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ is obtained by computing $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b})$ for given $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$. However, it is not easy. Remark that $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b}) \neq \lambda(\boldsymbol{a}) \cdot \lambda(\boldsymbol{b})$ in general.

(ii) From Lemma 1.1, we see that Theorem 1.5(iii), (1.14) and Corollary 1.7 are special cases of tensor product of factor representations.

(iii) We compare our results with those of tensor products of type III_λ factors. Let N_λ denote the AFD factors of type III_λ for $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then the following holds for any λ:

$$N_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda \cong N_\lambda.$$

We explain this in Appendix A. On the other hand, $\pi_\lambda \otimes_{\varphi} \pi_\lambda$ is of type III_{λ^2} when $\pi_\lambda = \varpi_{\boldsymbol{e}(A)}$ and $\lambda = 1/c_A$ from Corollary 1.7.

(iv) The tensor product $\otimes_{\varphi}$ in (1.2) was originally introduced such that it makes sense among permutative representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras [25] but it was not constructed as an operation among KMS states or type III representations. However, Theorem 1.5 sounds too good. It glance at a certain system below. We consider a relation between $\otimes_{\varphi}$ and $\boxtimes$ in Appendix B.

(v) Any KMS state in [26] is written as $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.9) for a certain $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(*)$. This will be proved in § 3.4.

(vi) In this paper, we treat special KMS states over Cuntz-Krieger algebras but not general KMS states. We do not know tensor products of general cases. A slightly general case is considered in § 3.5.

In § 2, we review states and representations of C*-algebras. In § 3, we review KMS states over Cuntz-Krieger algebras and prove main theorems in § 1.4. In § 4, we will compute tensor products of type III representations of Cuntz algebras. In § 5, we will show formulae of tensor powers of representations.

2 States and representations of C*-algebras

In this section, we review states and representations of C*-algebras.

2.1 Equivalences and the type of a representation

We review factor representations, two equivalences of representations and the type of a representation [2, 8, 27, 32].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C*-algebra. A nondegenerate representation $(\mathcal{H},\pi)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *factor (or primary or factorial) representation* if $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a factor. For two representations π_1 and π_2 of $\mathcal{A}$, we state that π_1 and π_2 are *unitarily equivalent (or spatially equivalent)* if there exists a unitary u such that $\pi_2(x) = u\pi_1(x)u^*$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$; π_1 and π_2 are *quasi-equivalent* if there exists a $*$-isomorphism f from $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})''$ to $\pi_2(\mathcal{A})''$ such that $f(\pi_1(x)) = \pi_2(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$; π_1 and π_2 are *disjoint* if no subrepresentation of π_1 is equivalent to a subrepresentation of π_2.

Let $\simeq$ and $\approx$ be as in § 1.1. We review known results as follows: For two representations π_1 and π_2, $\pi_1 \approx \pi_2$ if and only if $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$ up to multiplicity. Especially, when π_1 and π_2 are irreducible, $\pi_1 \approx \pi_2$ if and only $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$. Two factor representations are either disjoint or quasi-equivalent. Any irreducible representation is a factor representation. For a set $\{\pi_i\}$ of pairwise disjoint representations of a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, $(\oplus\pi_i)(\mathcal{A})'' = \oplus\pi_i(\mathcal{A})''$.

A nondegenerate representation π of a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *(pure) type* X if $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is of type X for X=I, II, III, II$_1$, II$_\infty$. The type of a representation is invariant under quasi-equivalence.

Lemma 2.1 *([8], § 5.6.6) Let π_1, π_2 be two representations of a C*-algebra in separable spaces and assume that π_1 or π_2 is of type* III. *Then $\pi_1 \approx \pi_2$ implies $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$.*

2.2 KMS states

In this subsection, we review basic facts of KMS states according to [3].

Definition 2.2 (i) *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{R}, \tau)$ be a C*-dynamical system. The state ρ over $\mathcal{A}$ is a τ-KMS state at value $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, or a (τ, β)-KMS state, if $\rho(a\tau_{i\beta}(b)) = \rho(ba)$ for all a, b in a norm dense, τ-invariant $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. We call β the inverse temperature of ρ.*

(ii) *A state ρ over a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a KMS state if there exists an action τ of $\mathbf{R}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that ρ is a (τ, β)-KMS state.*

Note that a $(\tau_{\theta t}, \beta)$-KMS state coincides with a $(\tau_{\theta' t}, \beta')$-KMS state when $\theta\beta = \theta'\beta'$. From this, $(\tau_{\gamma t}, \gamma^{-1}\beta)$-KMS state ρ is independent in the choice of $\gamma \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

A state ρ is a *factor state (or factorial state or primary state)* if the GNS representation of ρ is a factor representation [2, 8]. Let $K_\beta(\tau)$ denote the set of all (τ, β)-KMS states. For $\rho \in K_\beta(\tau)$, ρ is an extremal point of $K_\beta(\tau)$ if and only if ρ is a factor state. From this, if (τ, β)-KMS state exists uniquely, then it is a factor state.

We prepare a lemma about C*-subalgebras and their representations.

Lemma 2.3 *([14], Remark 4.2) Let ρ be a KMS state over a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a unital C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$. Let π_ρ and $\pi_{\rho|_\mathcal{C}}$ denote the GNS representations of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to ρ and $\rho|_\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Then $(\pi_\rho)|_\mathcal{C}$ and $\pi_{\rho|_\mathcal{C}}$ are quasi-equivalent.*

3 KMS states over Cuntz-Krieger algebras

We review KMS states and their GNS representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras in this section. Proofs of main theorems in § 1.4 will be given in § 3.4. Let $\mathbf{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ and $\mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$ be as in § 1.1 and (1.4), respectively.

3.1 Perron-Frobenius theorem

If a non-negative matrix A is irreducible, the Perron-Frobenius theorem guarantees the existence of the strictly positive eigenvector with respect to the simple root a of the characteristic polynomial such that $a \geq |b|$ for any other eigenvalue b. From the Perron-Frobenius theorem, the statement at the beginning of § 1.3 is rewritten as follows.

Lemma 3.1 *For any $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n), \in \mathbf{R}_+^n$, there exists unique $\beta > 0$ such that the vector*

$$\boldsymbol{a} \equiv (e^{-\beta\omega_1}, \ldots, e^{-\beta\omega_n}) \tag{3.1}$$

belongs to $\Lambda(A)$ in (1.7).

3.2 Cuntz-Krieger algebras

For $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathbf{M}_n(\{0,1\})$, $\mathcal{O}_A$ is the *Cuntz-Krieger algebra by A* if $\mathcal{O}_A$ is a C*-algebra which is universally generated by partial isometries $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ and they satisfy $s_i^* s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} s_j s_j^*$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i s_i^* = I$ [6]. The C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ is simple if and only if $A \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$. For $\boxtimes$ in (1.5), if both $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$ are simple, then so is $\mathcal{O}_{A\boxtimes B}$.

For methods to construct representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$, see [17, 18, 19]. For type I representations (especially, irreducible representations) of $\mathcal{O}_A$, see [18]. There exists no type II representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ when $\mathcal{O}_A$ is simple because $\mathcal{O}_A$ is purely infinite ([28], Rørdam, Proposition 4.4.2) and a purely infinite C*-algebra has no nondegenerate lower semicontinuous trace ([2], Proposition V.2.2.29). For embeddings of Cuntz-Krieger algebras, see [16].

3.3 KMS states over Cuntz-Krieger algebras

According to [13, 26], we review certain KMS states and their representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras. For $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \mathbf{R}_+^n$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$, define the $*$-automorphism $\alpha_t^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by

$$\alpha_t^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(s_i) \equiv e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_i t} s_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n). \tag{3.2}$$

Then $\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ is a one-parameter automorphism group of $\mathcal{O}_A$.

Theorem 3.2 *([13], Proposition 18.3, Theorem 18.5) Assume that $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ and $\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ is as in (3.2). Choose $\beta > 0$ for A and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is as in Lemma 3.1. Then an $\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$-KMS state $\phi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_A$ with an inverse temperature β is given as follows:*

$$\phi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(s_J s_K^*) = \delta_{JK} e^{-\beta\omega_{j_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{j_{m-1}}} x_{j_m} \tag{3.3}$$

when $s_J s_K^* \neq 0$ for $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^m$ and $K \in \cup_{l\geq 1}\{1, \ldots, n\}^l$ where $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ for $\boldsymbol{a}$ in Lemma 3.1 such that $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1$. Furthermore, an $\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$-KMS state is unique and β is also unique.

Theorem 3.3 *([26], Theorem 4.2) In addition to assumptions in Theorem 3.2, let $\pi_{\phi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}$ denote the GNS representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by $\phi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$, $M \equiv \pi_{\phi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}(\mathcal{O}_A)''$ and let G denote the closed subgroup of the additive group $\mathbf{R}$ generated by $\beta\omega_i$ for all i.*

(i) *If $\omega_i/\omega_j \in \mathbf{Q}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then M is an AFD factor of type* III_λ *for $\lambda = e^{-r}$, where $G = r\mathbf{Z}$ for some $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$.*

(ii) *If* $\omega_i/\omega_j \notin \mathbf{Q}$ *for some* $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$*, then* M *is an AFD factor of type* III_1*.*

3.4 Proofs of main theorems

We prove main theorems in this subsection.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. (i) Let π_{12} be a representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$ with a cyclic vector Ω such that $\pi_{12}(s_1 s_2)\Omega = \Omega$. Then such a representation exists uniquely up to unitary equivalence and it is irreducible. Let $P_2(12)$ denote the unitary equivalence class of π_{12}. By § 4.1 in [23], the irreducible decomposition of k times tensor power $P_2(12)^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ of $P_2(12)$ is multiplicity-free with 2^{k-1} irreducible components for each $k \geq 1$. Since $P_2(12)$ is irreducible, it is a (class of) factor representation. However, $P_2(12)^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ is not when $k \geq 2$.
(ii) For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let π_i be a representation of $\mathcal{O}_n$ with a cyclic vector Ω_i such that $\pi_i(s_i)\Omega_i = \Omega_i$. Then such a representation exists uniquely up to unitary equivalence and it is irreducible. Furthermore $\pi_i \not\simeq \pi_j$ when $i \neq j$. Let $P_n(i)$ denote the unitary equivalence class of π_i. By § 4.1 in [23], $P_2(1) \otimes_\varphi P_2(2) = P_4(2)$ and $P_2(2) \otimes_\varphi P_2(1) = P_4(3)$. Since both $P_4(2)$ and $P_4(3)$ are irreducible and $P_4(2) \not\simeq P_4(3)$, $P_4(2) \not\approx P_4(3)$. Hence the statement holds.
(iii) By the definition of $\otimes_\varphi$, the statement holds.
(iv) Let π_{12} and Ω be as in the proof of (i). Assume $\|\Omega\| = 1$. Define the state ρ over $\mathcal{O}_2$ by $\rho \equiv \langle \Omega | \pi_{12}(\cdot)\Omega \rangle$. Then we see that

$$\rho \otimes_\varphi \rho = \langle \Omega \otimes \Omega | (\pi_{12} \otimes_\varphi \pi_{12})(\cdot)\Omega \otimes \Omega \rangle.$$

On the other hand, $\pi_{12} \otimes_\varphi \pi_{12}$ has just two irreducible components and is multiplicity-free, and $\pi_{12} \simeq \pi_\rho$. On the other hand, $\pi_{\rho \otimes_\varphi \rho}$ is irreducible. Hence the statement holds. ∎

Remark that the statement in § 3.2 of [23] is wrong. In general, $(\pi_{\rho_1} \otimes_\varphi \pi_{\rho_2})|_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\pi_{\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi \rho_2}$ are unitarily equivalent where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the cyclic representation space with the tensor product $\Omega_{\rho_1} \otimes \Omega_{\rho_2}$ of GNS cyclic vectors as the cyclic vector.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (i) For any $A \in M_n(\mathbf{C}), B \in M_m(\mathbf{C})$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{C}^n, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbf{C}^m$, we see that $\widehat{\boldsymbol{x} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{y}}(A \boxtimes B) = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}A \boxtimes \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}B \in M_{nm}(\mathbf{C})$ and $(A \boxtimes B)(\boldsymbol{x} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{y}) = A\boldsymbol{x} \boxtimes B\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbf{C}^{nm}$. From these, if $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ are the Perron-Frobenius eigenvectors of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}B$, respectively, then $\boldsymbol{x} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{y}$ is also that of the matrix $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A \boxtimes \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}B$. From this, the statement holds.

(ii) From (i), $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}\boxtimes\boldsymbol{b}}$ is well-defined. By definitions of $\otimes_\varphi$ and $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, the statement is verified directly.
(iii) For $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \Lambda(A)$, define $\boldsymbol{\omega} \equiv (-\log a_1, \ldots, -\log a_n)$. Then $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.9) coincides with $\phi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ in (3.3) and the inverse temperature 1. Therefore $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is the unique $(\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, 1)$-KMS state over $\mathcal{O}_A$.

Assume that $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$ and $\boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(B)$. Let $\mathcal{C} \equiv \varphi_{A,B}(\mathcal{O}_{A\boxtimes B})$, $\mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$ and $\rho \equiv \rho_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes \rho_{\boldsymbol{b}}$. Then ρ is a state over the unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. By the definition of $\otimes_\varphi$, $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \rho_{\boldsymbol{b}} = \rho|_{\mathcal{C}}$. From (ii), $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}\boxtimes\boldsymbol{b}} = \rho|_{\mathcal{C}}$. By this and the definition of $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$,

$$\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}\boxtimes\boldsymbol{b}} = \pi_{\rho|_{\mathcal{C}}}.$$

On the other hand, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}} = (\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}})|_{\mathcal{C}}$. Since $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the GNS representation π_ρ of $\mathcal{B}$ by ρ, we can identify π_ρ with $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$. Hence

$$\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}} = (\pi_\rho)|_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

From Lemma 2.3, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}} \approx \varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}\boxtimes\boldsymbol{b}}$. By Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.1, the statement holds. ∎

In Theorem 3.2, let $\boldsymbol{a}$ be as in (3.1). Then $\boldsymbol{a}$ belongs to $\Lambda(A)$ in (1.7). We see that $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.9) coincides with $\phi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ in (3.3). From this and the proof of Theorem 1.5(ii), the set of all KMS states in Theorem 3.3 coincides with the set $\{\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}} : \boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(*)\}$.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. Since $c_{A\boxtimes B} = c_A c_B$, $\boldsymbol{e}(A \boxtimes B) = \boldsymbol{e}(A) \boxtimes \boldsymbol{e}(B)$. This implies the statement. ∎

3.5 Tensor products of general KMS states

We consider the sufficient condition such that the tensor product of two (slightly) general KMS states is also a KMS state.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $(\mathcal{O}_{A_i}, \mathbf{R}, \alpha^{(i)})$ be a C*-dynamical system and let ρ_i be an $\alpha^{(i)}$-KMS state over $\mathcal{O}_{A_i}$ with an inverse temperature $\beta_i \neq 0$ such that the KMS condition holds on an $\alpha^{(i)}$-invariant dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We assume the following conditions:*

(i) *there exists a dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A_1\boxtimes A_2}$ such that $\varphi_{A_1,A_2}(\mathcal{C})$ is included in the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{B}_1 \odot \mathcal{B}_2$ where φ_{A_1,A_2} is as in (1.6),*

(ii) $(\alpha^{(1)} \otimes \alpha^{(2)})(\varphi_{A_1,A_2}(\mathcal{C})) \subset \varphi_{A_1,A_2}(\mathcal{C})$.

Define the action $\alpha^{(1)} \otimes_{\varphi} \alpha^{(2)}$ *of* $\mathbf{R}$ *on* $\mathcal{O}_{A_1 \otimes A_2}$ *by*

$$(\alpha^{(1)} \otimes_{\varphi} \alpha^{(2)})_t \equiv \varphi^{-1}_{A_1,A_2} \circ (\alpha^{(1)}_{\beta_1 t} \otimes \alpha^{(2)}_{\beta_2 t}) \circ \varphi_{A_1,A_2} \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Then $\rho_1 \otimes_{\varphi} \rho_2$ *is an* $\alpha^{(1)} \otimes_{\varphi} \alpha^{(2)}$*-KMS state over* $\mathcal{O}_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}$ *with an inverse temperature* 1.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$. By assumption, there exist $x_1^{'}, \ldots, x_l^{'}, y_1^{'}, \ldots, y_k^{'} \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $x_1^{''}, \ldots, x_l^{''}, y_1^{''}, \ldots, y_k^{''} \in \mathcal{B}_2$ such that $\varphi_{A_1,A_2}(x) = \sum_i x_i^{'} \otimes x_i^{''}$ and $\varphi_{A_1,A_2}(y) = \sum_i y_i^{'} \otimes y_i^{''}$. Then we can verify that

$$(\rho_1 \otimes_{\varphi} \rho_2)(x\,(\alpha^{(1)} \otimes_{\varphi} \alpha^{(2)})_t(y)) = \sum_{i,j} \rho_1(x_i^{'}\alpha^{(1)}_{\beta_1 t}(y_j^{'}))\, \rho_2(x_i^{''}\alpha^{(2)}_{\beta_2 t}(y_j^{''})) \quad (3.4)$$

for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$. On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i,j} \rho_1(y_j^{'}x_i^{'})\, \rho_2(y_j^{''}x_i^{''}) = (\rho_1 \otimes_{\varphi} \rho_2)(yx). \quad (3.5)$$

We obtain the KMS condition for $\rho_1 \otimes_{\varphi} \rho_2$ on $\mathcal{C}$ by taking the limit $t \to \sqrt{-1}$ in (3.4). ∎

From the definition of $\varphi_{A,B}$ in (1.6) and Lemma 3.4, the following holds.

Corollary 3.5 *In addition to Lemma 3.4, if* $\mathcal{B}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_2$ *are* $*$*-subalgebras generated by canonical generators of* $\mathcal{O}_{A_1}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_{A_2}$*, respectively, then* $\mathcal{C}$ *can be taken as the dense* $*$*-subalgebra generated by canonical generators of* $\mathcal{O}_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}$.

4 Cases of Cuntz algebras

We show cases of Cuntz algebras in this section.

4.1 KMS states over $\mathcal{O}_n$

GNS representations of KMS states over Cuntz algebras were studied by [14]. We rewrite them as special cases of Cuntz-Krieger algebras. For $n \geq 2$, let $\mathrm{Int}\Delta_{n-1}$ denote the interior of the $n-1$-simplex, that is, $\mathrm{Int}\Delta_{n-1} \equiv \{(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n a_j = 1,\ a_i > 0 \text{ for all } i\}$. Define $F_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ by

$$(F_n)_{ij} = 1 \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, n). \quad (4.1)$$

Let $\Lambda(A)$ be as in (1.7). Then we see that $\Lambda(F_n) \subset \mathrm{Int}\Delta_{n-1}$. Since an eigenvalue of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}F_n$ is 0 or 1 for each $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathrm{Int}\Delta_{n-1}$, the following holds.

Lemma 4.1 *([14], § 2.1) For each* $n \geq 2$, $\Lambda(F_n) = \mathrm{Int}\Delta_{n-1}$.

For a finite set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ of natural numbers, let $\gcd\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ denote the greatest common divisor of $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. From Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.1, the following holds.

Proposition 4.2 *If* $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbf{N}$ *and* $\lambda > 0$ *satisfy that* $\gcd\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} = 1$ *and*

$$\lambda^{p_1} + \cdots + \lambda^{p_n} = 1,$$

then the representation $\varpi_{(\lambda^{p_1}, \ldots, \lambda^{p_n})}$ *of* $\mathcal{O}_n$ *is of type* III_λ.

From Proposition 4.2, λ is an algebraic number when $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is of type III_λ. This was pointed out by Theorem 4.7(ii) in [14].

For $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(F_n)$, $\boldsymbol{a}$ is also the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}F_n$ which satisfies the assumption for $\boldsymbol{x}$ in (1.9). Hence the KMS state $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_n$ in (1.9) is given as follows:

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_J s_K^*) = \delta_{JK} a_J \quad (J, K \in \cup_{l \geq 0}\{1, \ldots, n\}^l) \tag{4.2}$$

where $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ denote canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and $a_J \equiv a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$ when $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$. This type state is called *quasi-free* [11]. Especially, when $\boldsymbol{a} = (\frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n})$, we write $\rho^{(n)}$ as $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Then the following holds:

(i) ([3], Example 5.3.27) $\rho^{(n)}(s_J s_K^*) = \delta_{J,K} n^{-|J|}$ for $J, K \in \cup_{l \geq 0}\{1, \ldots, n\}^l$ where $|J| \equiv k$ for $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_k)$.

(ii) $\rho^{(n)} \otimes_\varphi \rho^{(m)} = \rho^{(nm)}$ for each $n, m \geq 2$.

4.2 Formulae of tensor product

We show several formulae of tensor products of (unitary equivalence classes of) representations of Cuntz algebras in this subsection. From Corollary 1.6, the essential computation is reduced to that of $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b})$. For F_n in (4.1), we compute $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b})$ for $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(F_n)$.

Example 4.3 We consider the case of $\mathcal{O}_2$. Define $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c} \in \Lambda(F_2)$ by

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{b} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{c} = \Bigl(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, \Bigl\{\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\Bigr\}^2\Bigr).$$

From Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}) = 1$, $\lambda(\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda(\boldsymbol{c}) = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$. Hence $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ are of type III_1, $\mathrm{III}_{\frac{1}{2}}$,$\mathrm{III}_{\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}}$, respectively.

(i) Since $\boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{b} = (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ and $\log \frac{1}{6} / \log \frac{1}{3} \notin \mathbf{Q}$, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ is of type III_1.

(ii) Since $\boldsymbol{b} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{c} = (\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{4}, \frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^2}{8}, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{4}, \frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^2}{8})$ and $\log \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{4} / \log(\frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^2}{8}) \notin \mathbf{Q}$, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ is of type III_1.

Furthermore, we show the following.

Proposition 4.4 *For any $n, m \geq 2$, there exists $\{\boldsymbol{a}_n : n \geq 2\}$ such that $\boldsymbol{a}_n \in \Lambda(F_n)$, both $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}_n}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}_n} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}_m}$ are of type* III_1 *for each $n, m \geq 2$.*

Proof. We prepare the following fact:

$$\text{If } k \text{ is an odd integer greater than equal 3, then } \log k / \log \tfrac{k}{2} \notin \mathbf{Q}. \tag{4.3}$$

This can be proved by reduction to absurdity.

For $n \geq 2$, define $\boldsymbol{a}_n \in \Lambda(F_n)$ by

$$\boldsymbol{a}_n \equiv \begin{cases} \Bigl(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n+1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n+1}}_{n-1 \text{ times}}, \frac{2}{n+1}\Bigr) & \text{when } n \text{ is even,} \\ \\ \Bigl(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n+2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n+2}}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, \frac{2}{n+2}, \frac{2}{n+2}\Bigr) & \text{when } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 1.2(ii), Lemma 1.3 and (4.3), $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}_n}$ is of type III_1 for each $n \geq 2$. By definition, there exists an odd integer k which is greater than equal 9, and any component of $\boldsymbol{a}_n \boxtimes \boldsymbol{a}_m$ is $\frac{1}{k}$ or $\frac{2}{k}$ or $\frac{4}{k}$. From Lemma 1.3 and (4.3), $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}_n \boxtimes \boldsymbol{a}_m) = 1$. Since $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}_n} \otimes_\varphi \varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}_m}$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}_n \boxtimes \boldsymbol{a}_m)}$, the statement holds. ▮

5 Tensor powers of representations

We consider the power of tensor product (= tensor power) of representations in this section. For a (unitary equivalence class of) representation π of $\mathcal{O}_A$, let $\pi^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ denote $\pi \otimes_\varphi \cdots \otimes_\varphi \pi$ (k times). For $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$, let $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a})$ be as in (1.10). From Corollary 1.6, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k})}$ for $k \geq 1$ where $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k} \equiv \boldsymbol{a} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \boldsymbol{a}$ (k times). Remark that $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k}) \neq \lambda(\boldsymbol{a})^k$ in general. From Corollary 1.7, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{e}(A)}^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{(1/c_A)^k}$ for each $k \geq 1$.

5.1 Tensor powers of type III_1 factor representations

Proposition 5.1 *For any* $A \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$*, if* $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ *is of type* III_1*, then* $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ *is also of type* III_1 *for each* $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. By assumption and Lemma 1.3, there exist i, j such that $\log a_i / \log a_j \notin \mathbf{Q}$. On the other hand, a_i^k and a_j^k always appear as components of $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k}$. Then $\log a_i^k / \log a_j^k = \log a_i / \log a_j \notin \mathbf{Q}$. From this, $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k}) = 1$ for any $k \geq 1$. Hence the statement holds. ∎

5.2 Periodicity of the tensor power of a representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$

We show formulae of tensor power of representations of $\mathcal{O}_2$ in this subsection. For $\Lambda(*)$ in (1.7) and F_n in (4.1), let $\Lambda_2 \equiv \Lambda(F_2)$. From Lemma 4.1, $\Lambda_2 = \{(x, 1-x) \in \mathbf{R}_+^2 : 0 < x < 1\}$. For $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda_2$, let $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ be as in (4.2). For any $(a,b) \in \Lambda_2$, let $\varpi_{(a,b)}$ denote the GNS representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$ by $\rho_{(a,b)}$. We compute the type of $\varpi_{(a,b)}^{\otimes_\varphi k}$.

Proposition 5.2 *If* $x > 0$ *and* $p, q \in \mathbf{N}$ *satisfy that*

$$\gcd\{p,q\} = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad x^p + x^q = 1,$$

then $\varpi_{(x^p,x^q)}^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ *is of* type III_{x^r} *for each* $k \geq 1$ *where*

$$r \equiv \gcd\{|p-q|, k\}$$

and we define $\gcd\{k, 0\} \equiv k$ *for convenience.*

Proof. Since $\varpi_{(a,b)}^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda((a,b)^{\boxtimes k})}$, we compute $\lambda((a,b)^{\boxtimes k})$ as follows. Let $\boldsymbol{a} = (x^p, x^q)$. Assume $p = q$. Since $\gcd\{p,q\} = 1$, $(p,q) = (1,1)$. Then $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k} = (x^k, \ldots, x^k)$. Therefore $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k}) = x^k$. Hence the statement holds.

Assume $t \equiv p - q > 0$ and $r \equiv \gcd\{k, t\}$. By assumption, $\gcd\{t, q\} = 1$. Then we can write $k = rk_0$ and $t = rt_0$ for some $k_0, t_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\gcd\{k_0, t_0\} = 1$. For any component of $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k}$, there exists $i \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$ such that it is written as $x^{pi+q(k-i)}$. Define $l_i \equiv pi + q(k-i)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k$. Since $l_i = (t_0 i + qk_0)r$, l_i can be divided by r for any i. Especially, we can verify that $\gcd\{l_0, l_1\} = r$. Therefore $\gcd\{l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_k\} = r$. In consequence, there exist $m_1, \ldots, m_{2^k} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\gcd\{m_1, \ldots, m_{2^k}\} = 1$ and $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boxtimes k} = (y^{m_1}, \ldots, y^{m_{2^k}})$ for $y \equiv x^r$. This implies the statement.

As is the case with $p - q > 0$, the case $p - q < 0$ can be proved. ∎

Example 5.3 (i) If $p \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$ satisfy

$$x^{p+1} + x^p - 1 = 0,$$

then $\varpi^{\otimes_\varphi k}_{(x^{p+1},x^p)}$ is of type III_x for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Especially, when $p = 1$, $\{\varpi_{((\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2})^2,\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2})}\}^{\otimes_\varphi k}$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}}$ for each $k \geq 1$.

(ii) Let x be a (unique) positive solution of the equation $x^3 + x - 1 = 0$. If $\boldsymbol{a} = (x, x^3)$, then

$$\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\otimes_\varphi k} \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{of type } \mathrm{III}_x & (k \text{ is odd}), \\ \text{of type } \mathrm{III}_{x^2} & (k \text{ is even}). \end{cases}$$

(iii) Let x be the positive real solution of the equation

$$x^{11} + x^5 - 1 = 0.$$

Define $\boldsymbol{a} = (x^{11}, x^5)$. Then

$$\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\otimes_\varphi k} \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{of type } \mathrm{III}_{x^6} & (k \equiv 0 \bmod 6), \\ \text{of type } \mathrm{III}_{x^3} & (k \equiv 3 \bmod 6), \\ \text{of type } \mathrm{III}_{x^2} & (k \equiv 2 \bmod 6), \\ \text{of type } \mathrm{III}_x & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

In consequence, the tensor power has periodicity arising from the periodicity of the function $\mathbf{N} \ni k \mapsto \gcd\{a, k\}$ when $a \geq 1$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Izumi Ojima for suggestions of the study of type III representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras on many occasions. He also thanks to Akira Asada for a comment of the type of a factor.

Appendix

A Tensor products of type III factors

We review tensor products of type III factors. In general the tensor product of two type III factors is also a type III factor. Therefore the tensor product of a type III_λ factor and a type III_μ factor for $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq 1$ is also a type III_ν factor for a certain $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$. We roughly write this as follows:

$$\mathrm{III}_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{III}_\mu = \mathrm{III}_\nu \tag{A.1}$$

However such ν is not uniquely determined from given λ, μ in general [5]. Consequently, (A.1) makes no sense and the parameter $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ of Connes' classification of type III factors is not compatible with the tensor product of factors in general.

Exceptionally, when the L.H.S. in (A.1) is the tensor product of AFD type III factors, ν is uniquely determined from given $0 < \lambda, \mu \leq 1$ in (A.1) as follows ([2], III.3.1.14):

$$\nu = \begin{cases} \tau & \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 < \lambda, \mu < 1, \text{ and there exists } (p,q) \in \mathbf{N}^2 \text{ such that} \\ \gcd\{p,q\} = 1 \text{ and } (\lambda, \mu) = (\tau^p, \tau^q) \end{array}\right), \\ \\ 1 & \text{(otherwise).} \end{cases}$$

B Several kinds of tensor product of representations

We review basic facts of tensor product of representations. First, we remark that there are non-negligible differences between group theory and algebra theory with respect to terminologies of tensor product of representations. In order to explain this, we start with tensor products of representations in group theory.

For a representation π_i of a group G_i for $i = 1, 2$, define the representation $\pi_1 \otimes_{out} \pi_2$ of $G_1 \times G_2$ by $(\pi_1 \otimes_{out} \pi_2)(g_1, g_2) \equiv \pi_1(g_1) \otimes \pi_2(g_2)$ for $(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$. The representation $\pi_1 \otimes_{out} \pi_2$ is called the *outer tensor product of representations of* π_1 *and* π_2 [31]. In addition, when $G_1 = G_2 = G$, let ι denote the diagonal embedding of G into $G \times G$. Then

$$\pi_1 \otimes_{inn} \pi_2 \equiv (\pi_1 \otimes_{out} \pi_2) \circ \iota$$

is called the *inner tensor product (or Kronecker product [30]) of* π_1 *and* π_2, that is, $(\pi_1 \otimes_{inn} \pi_2)(g) = \pi_1(g) \otimes_{inn} \pi_2(g)$ for $g \in G$. In usual, $\pi_1 \otimes_{inn} \pi_2$ is written as $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ and the inner tensor product of representations is called the *tensor product of representations* for simplicity of description. Almost always, the tensor product of representations in group theory means the inner tensor product of representations.

On the other hand, for a representation π_i of an algebras $\mathcal{A}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, the tensor product representation $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ of π_1 and π_2 is uniquely defined as the outer tensor product representation of π_1 and π_2 for $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ because there is no way to define the inner tensor product for algebras in general. Especially, the tensor product of representations means the outer tensor product representation in the theory of operator algebras in usual.

If an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has a (coassociative) comultiplication $\Delta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ [15], then we can define *the (associative) inner tensor product (or the Kronecker product [9]) of representations* π_1 *and* π_2 of $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\pi_1 \otimes_{inn} \pi_2 \equiv (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \circ \Delta.$$

Remark that the operation $\otimes_{inn}$ depends on the choice of Δ in this case. Here we omit topological problems of tensor product of topological algebras for simplification.

At the last, we see that the product $\boxtimes$ of vectors in (1.11) can be regarded as the “Kronecker product of diagonal matrices”. From Theorem 1.5, the “Kronecker product $\boxtimes$ of vectors” and the “Kronecker product $\otimes_\varphi$ of representations” are very close. This is an interesting accidental coincidence of the terminology “Kronecker product.”

References

[1] A. Berman, R.J. Plemmons, Nonnegative matrices in mathematical sciences, SIAM, 1994.

[2] B. Blackadar, Operator algebras. Theory of C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2006.

[3] O. Bratteli, D.W. Robinson, Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics 2, Springer-Verlag New York, 1981.

[4] A. Connes, Une classification des facteurs de type III, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 6 (1973) 133–252.

[5] A. Connes, Non commutative geometry, Academic Press, Orlando, 1993.

[6] J. Cuntz, W. Krieger, A class of C^*-algebra and topological Markov chains, Invent. Math. 56 (1980) 251–268.

[7] A.S. Dief, Advanced matrix theory for scientists and engineers, Abacus Press, Turnbridge Wells & London, 1982.

[8] J. Dixmier, C^*-algebras, North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam New York Oxford, 1977.

[9] M. Enock, J.M. Schwartz, Kac algebras and duality of locally compact groups, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[10] M. Enomoto, M. Fujii, Y. Watatani, KMS states for gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_A$, Math. Japon. 29(4) (1984) 607–619.

[11] D.E. Evans, On $\mathcal{O}_n$, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 16 (1980) 915–927.

[12] R. Exel, M. Laca, Cuntz-Krieger algebras for infinite matrices, J. reine angew. Math.(Crelle) 512 (1999) 119–172.

[13] R. Exel, M. Laca, Partial dynamical systems and the KMS condition, Commun. Math. Phys. 232(2) (2003) 223–277.

[14] M. Izumi, Subalgebras of infinite C*-algebras with finite Watatani indices. I. Cuntz algebras, Commun. Math. Phys. 155(1) (1993) 157–182.

[15] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[16] K. Kawamura, Polynomial embedding of Cuntz-Krieger algebra into Cuntz algebra, preprint RIMS-1391.

[17] K. Kawamura, Representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. I —General theory—, preprint RIMS-1454.

[18] K. Kawamura, Representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. II —Permutative representations—, preprint RIMS-1462.

[19] K. Kawamura, The Perron-Frobenius operators, invariant measures and representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras, J. Math. Phys. 46(8) (2005) 083514-1–083514-6.

[20] K. Kawamura, Polynomial endomorphisms of the Cuntz algebras arising from permutations. I —General theory—, Lett. Math. Phys. 71 (2005) 149–158.

[21] K. Kawamura, Branching laws for polynomial endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras arising from permutations, Lett. Math. Phys. 77 (2006) 111–126.

[22] K. Kawamura, Automata computation of branching laws for endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras, Int. J. Alg. Comput. 17(7) (2007) 1389–1409.

[23] K. Kawamura, A tensor product of representations of Cuntz algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 82 (2007) 91–104.

[24] K. Kawamura, C*-bialgebra defined by the direct sum of Cuntz algebras, J. Algebra, 319 (2008) 3935–3959.

[25] K. Kawamura, C*-bialgebra defined by the direct sum of Cuntz-Krieger algebras, math.OA/0801.4597v2.

[26] R. Okayasu, Type III factors arising from Cuntz-Krieger algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131(7) (2002) 2145–2153.

[27] G.K. Pedersen, C^*-algebras and their automorphism groups, Academic Press, 1979.

[28] M. Rørdam, E. Størmer, Classification of nuclear C*-algebras. Entropy in operator algebras, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.

[29] E. Seneta, Non-negative matrices and Markov chains. 2nd. edit., Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1981.

[30] N. Tatsuuma, Decomposition of Kronecker products of representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group, Proc. Japan Acad. 38 (1962) 156–160.

[31] V.S. Varadarajan, Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations, Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, 1984.

[32] N.R. Wallach, Real reductive groups II, Academic Press, 1992.